TRB AND NCSITE PRESENT
URBAN STREET SYMPOSIUM 5
RALEIGH, NC | MAY 21-24, 2017

CONCURRENT OPTIMIZATION OF SIGNAL PROGRESSION AND CROSSOVER SPACING FOR DIVERGING DIAMOND INTERCHANGES

Yao Cheng*, Saed Rahwanji, Gang-Len Chang

MDOT State Highway Administration

University of Maryland, College Park

Evolution of DDI

- Proposed around early 2000's
- First DDI opened in 2009
- Able to reduce conflict points for turning movements from and onto the freeway ramps by reversing the through movements at the crossovers
- Currently more than 80 locations around the country



I-44 & Kansas Expressway in Springfield, MO
Source: http://www.divergingdiamond.com/index.html

Research Issues

Optimal cycle length and signal timing plan for sub-intersections

Optimal distance between stops lines for each movement

Optimal signal offset



- Two-phase signal
  - Eastbound through, southbound right, and northbound left
  - Westbound through, southbound left, and northbound right
- Cycle length and green splits can be determined with methods.

Research Issues





Research Issues

Optimal cycle length and signal timing plan for sub-intersections

Optimal distance between stops lines for each movement

Optimal signal offset



- The distances between the stop lines for through and left turn movements are different.
- A set of adjustment variables should be set to determine the proper location of those stop lines based on the crossover spacing.

Model Development

Offset optimization

- Input: cycle length, green splits, cruising speed, crossover spacing

Crossover spacing optimization

- Input: cycle length, green splits, traffic volume, saturation flow rate, offsets

Concurrent optimization of the offset and crossover spacing

- Input: cycle length, green splits, cruising speed, traffic volume, saturation flow rate

Model Development

Offset optimization

$$Max: \sum_j b_j$$

b_j: the progression bandwidth for critical movement j

To make sure that each band only uses its corresponding green phase

$$w_{i,j} + b_j \le g_{i,j}$$

$$w_{i,j} \ge 0$$

$w_{i,j}$: the part of green time before the specified band used by flows on movement j at intersection i;

$g_{i,j}$: the duration of the phase for movement j at intersection i.



1: eastbound through

2: westbound through

3: southbound left

4: northbound left

Model Development

Offset optimization

$$\theta_1 + w_{1,1} + \frac{l + l'_1}{v_1 C} + n_{1,1} = \theta_2 + w_{2,1} + n_{2,1}$$

To determine the proper offsets based on travel time

Travel time

θ_i: the offset at intersection i;

C: cycle length;

l: crossover spacing;

l'_i : the distance adjustment term defined by the position of the stop line;

v_j: the progression speed defined for critical movement j;

$n_{i,j}$: integer variables.

$$\theta_2 + g_2 + w_{2,2} + \frac{l + l'_2}{v_2 C} + n_{2,2} = \theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,2} + n_{1,2}$$

$$\theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,3} + \frac{l + l'_3}{v_3 C} + n_{1,3} = \theta_2 + w_{2,3} + n_{2,3}$$

$$\theta_2 + w_{2,4} + \frac{l + l'_4}{v_4 C} + n_{2,4} = \theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,4} + n_{1,4}$$



1: eastbound through

2: westbound through

3: southbound left

4: northbound left

Model Development

Crossover spacing optimization

Queue length calculation:

$$\tau = \frac{(Cr + \delta)\alpha q}{s - \alpha q} \frac{s}{}$$

The queue length at the end of the red phase

τ: the distance between the stop bar and the end of queue before it is fully discharged;
r: the fraction of red phase;
δ: the lost time in seconds;
q: the volume;
α: the corresponding lane use factor;
s: the saturation flow rate.



Model Development

Crossover spacing optimization

$$\frac{s}{s-\alpha q_j}\left[\alpha q_2\left(1-g_2-b_2\right)C/g_2+\alpha q_4\left(g_2-b_4\right)C/g_2\right]\le\left(l+l'_4\right)/h, \qquad j=2,4$$

$$\frac{s}{s-\alpha q_j}\left[\alpha q_1\left(g_1-b_1\right)C/g_1+\alpha q_3\left(1-g_1-b_3\right)C/\left(1-g_1\right)\right]\le\left(l+l'_3\right)/h, \qquad j=1,3$$

Through vehicles not experiencing signal progression

Off-ramp vehicles not experiencing signal progression

h: the spatial headway of vehicles between two sub-intersections



- To avoid queue spillback regardless of the signal phase at the upstream intersection
- Based on the given bandwidths, which can be directly computed from the offset.

Model Development

Concurrent optimization of offset and crossover spacing

$$Max: \sum_j b_j - \frac{l / vC}{M}$$

- Both offset and crossover spacing are decision variables.
- The proposed model is able to avoid queue spillback and generate maximum progression bands.

To make sure that each band only uses its green phase

$$w_{i,j} + b_j \le g_{i,j}, \quad w_{i,j} \ge 0$$

To determine the proper offsets based on travel time

$$\theta_1 + w_{1,1} + \frac{l + l'_1}{v_1 C} + n_{1,1} = \theta_2 + w_{2,1} + n_{2,1} \qquad \theta_2 + g_2 + w_{2,2} + \frac{l + l'_2}{v_2 C} + n_{2,2} = \theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,2} + n_{1,2}$$

$$\theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,3} + \frac{l + l'_3}{v_3 C} + n_{1,3} = \theta_2 + w_{2,3} + n_{2,3} \qquad \theta_2 + w_{2,4} + \frac{l + l'_4}{v_4 C} + n_{2,4} = \theta_1 + g_1 + w_{1,4} + n_{1,4}$$

To estimate the queue lengths and force the crossover spacing to be larger

$$\frac{s}{s - \alpha q_j}\left(\alpha q_2 (1 - g_2 - b_2) C / g_2 + \alpha q_4 (g_2 - b_4) C / g_2\right) \le (l + l'_4) / h, \qquad j = 2,4$$

$$\frac{s}{s - \alpha q_j}\left[\alpha q_1 (g_1 - b_1) C / g_1 + \alpha q_3 (1 - g_1 - b_3) C / (1 - g_1)\right] \le (l + l'_3) / h, \qquad j = 1,3$$

Case Study

- A DDI at I-70 & Mid Rivers Mall Dr. in Saint Peters, MO
- Adopted PM peak demand data from a traffic survey in April 2016
- Cycle length and green splits are calculated based on volume.



Direction	Left (vph)	Through(vph)	Right(vph)
Southbound	120	345	490
Northbound	150	945	595
Eastbound	85	--	635
Westbound	1185	--	150

N

Case Study

- Optimization results and simulation design
  - 4 different lengths for the crossover spacing
  - 2 volume levels

	Current volume		Projected volume(1.4 times)	
Cases	Crossover spacing (ft)	Offset (sec)	Crossover spacing(ft)	Offset (sec)
1. Actual	469	24	469	24
2. Shorter	547	43	547	43
3. Optimized	**624**	**42**	**681**	**44**
4. Long	950	49	950	49

Case Study

- Simulation results (current volume)
  - *The optimized crossover spacing outperforms other three cases.*
  - *Increasing the crossover spacing towards the optimal one can result in less traffic delay.*
  - *A crossover spacing longer than the optimal one may not yield the benefits.*



Average delay per vehicle (sec.)



Average number of stops per vehicle

Case Study

- Simulation results (projected volume, 1.4 times of the current volume)
  - *The proposed model can still outperform other cases.*
  - *The optimal design yields more benefits under the higher volume scenario.*



Average delay per vehicle (sec)



Average number of stops per vehicle

Case Study

- Time-dependent queue length at the South intersection (current volume)
  - *The concurrently optimized crossover spacing and offset are able to alleviate queue spillback due to volume fluctuation.*



Case 1 (actual crossover spacing)



Case 3 (optimized crossover spacing)

Conclusions and Future Study

- An optimization model to fully account for the interdependent relation between the crossover spacing and the signal offset in a DDI
- Simulations to evaluate the performance of the proposed model
  - *the DDI with the concurrently optimized crossover spacing and offset can yield the shortest delays and fewest number of stops*
  - *the DDI with the optimized design features can effectively cope with potential queue spillback at the crossovers*
- Future study
  - *a method to determine whether or not to set signals for all off-ramp flows at those DDI sub-intersections*
  - *a method to estimate the impacts to the adjacent intersections and close exits on the freeway*

Q & A

- Acknowledgement
  - *The authors are grateful for the kindly help from MO DOT for providing traffic volume data for the test site.*
  - *This study is supported by Maryland SHA ATTAP Program to Traffic and Safety and Operations Lab. at University of Maryland, College Park.*

Maryland State Highway Administration

University of Maryland, College Park