Paper ID: 18-03122

2018 TRB Annual Meeting

AN ARTERIAL-BASED TRANSIT SIGNAL PRIORITY CONTROL SYSTEM

Hyeonmi Kim, Yao Cheng, and Gang-Len Chang Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of Maryland, College Park



Motivation

- Transit Signal Priority (TSP): one effective strategy to reduce transit delays at urban intersections.
- However, implementing TSP along an arterial under heavy transit flows remains quite limited in state of the practices.
 - Negative impacts on the side-street traffic
 - Potential excessive delays to the downstream intersection
 - Operating **costs** and the long-lasting maintenance issues



Develop an integrated arterial-based transit signal priority control system for an arterial experiencing heavy transit flows



System Structure

System components

- 1. A pretimed bus-based progression model: produce a base signal plan for an arterial to minimize real-time TSP activations
- 2. The real-time TSP model: supplement the arterial-based bus progression at the critical intersections



Conventional Two-way Progression



Pretimed TSP Module

Stage-1: Design a Set of Bus-based Progression Bands along an Arterial



Critical Issues in Design of Bus-based Progression



Modeling Methodology



In design of bus progression, travel times between intersections include bus dwell times at bus stops

Modeling Methodology



The same signal plans and dwell times

Intersection Traffic Queue

Given signal plans, traffic conditions and dwell times, the optimal bus bandwidths vary with the bus stop location

Modeling Methodology

Traffic Queue



The queue vanish point needs to be located ahead of the left boundary of the bus progression band to avoid interrupting the bus progression.



	Parameters
	Number of intersection
	Outbound (inbound) red time at intersection i (cycles)
(Lower and upper limits on outbound(inbound) speed (feet/second)
(Lower and upper limits on change in outbound(inbound) speed
	(feet/second)
0	Average bus running speed between intersection i (i+1) and
	intersection i+1 (i) (feet/cycles)
0	Average bus dwell time between intersections i (i+1) and i+1 (i)
U	(cycles)
	Distance between intersections i (i+1) and i+1 (i) (feet)
0	Distance from intersection i (i+1) to a bus stop between intersections i
0	(i+1) and i+1 (i) (feet)
0	Queue clearance time at intersection i (cycles)
0	Maximum queue length at intersection i (feet)
(The distance from intersections i-1(i+1) to the end of maximum queue
L L	at intersection i (feet)
Z	Inverse of cycle length (1/second)
Z	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor
z M	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number
z M	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables
z <u>M</u> 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles)
z M 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles)
z <u>M</u> <u>0</u> 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles)
z <u>M</u> <u>0</u> 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of
z M 0 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus
z M 0 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles)
z M 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles) Loop integer variable for intersection i for the outbound (inbound) of
z <u>M</u> <u>0</u> 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles) Loop integer variable for intersection i for the outbound (inbound) of bus band
z M 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles) Loop integer variable for intersection i for the outbound (inbound) of bus band Average bus running time from intersection i (i+1) to intersection i+1
z M 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles) Loop integer variable for intersection i for the outbound (inbound) of bus band Average bus running time from intersection i (i+1) to intersection i+1 (i) (cycles)
z M 0 0 0 0	Inverse of cycle length (1/second) Weight factor Large number Variables Outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth (cycles) Maximized outbound (inbound) bus bandwidth from Stage-1 (cycles) Offset of intersection i (cycles) Interference variables, equals to the time period from right(left) side of red at intersection i to left (right) edge of outbound(inbound) bus band(cycles) Loop integer variable for intersection i for the outbound (inbound) of bus band Average bus running time from intersection i (i+1) to intersection i+1 (i) (cycles) A function of left boundary of an outbound(inbound) bus band







 $b \ge b_m, \overline{b} \ge \overline{b}_m$

14



System Structure

Stage 2

priority type by adjusting offsets

Avoiding activations of the less favorable



Activations in the previous cycle

Number of buses arriving in the red

٠

٠

phase

Real-Time TSP Module (Rule-based Strategy)

- R1: Execute the TSP only if the reduced delay would not be transferred into extra waiting time at the downstream intersection
- R2: Do not execute the same type of TSP in consecutive cycles
- R3: Activate the red truncation only if at least two buses are detected to have the benefits from the execution



Case Study

□ An arterial segment on Dongzhimenwai Road in Beijing, CHINA



< Geometry and bus operations >

D Model Comparison

- 1. MULTIBAND TSP 1: Conditional TSP on MULTIBAND signal plan
- 2. MULTIBAND TSP 2: Unconditional TSP on MULTIBAND signal plan
- 3. BUSBAND: The proposed bus-based progression model without real-time TSP
- 4. BUSBAND with TSP: The proposed real-time TSP on BUSBAND signal plan

- Average and variance of bus travel times along the arterial
- Delays at the TSP intersection and along the entire arterial
- Total person delay along the arterial

* This study adopts VISSIM as an unbiased traffic simulation tool, and the active TSP are implemented with COM interface and Visual Basic code,

Average bus travel times and standard deviations along the arterial



BUSBAND and BUSBAND+TSP outperform MULTIBAND + TSP on

- reducing travel times
- lowering travel time standard deviations (results in a lower headway variation of buses along the arterial)

Delays at the TSP intersection and along the arterial



- **BUSBAND** outperforms **MULTIBAND**+**TSPs** with respect to **bus delays along the arterial**. **BUSBAND**+**TSP** yields even lower bus delays.
- BUSBAND-only shows the lowest average delay on non-priority movements at the TSP intersection.

Total person delay along the arterial



< Average person delays along an arterial >



■BUSBAND ⊠BUSBAND-TSP

< Sensitivity of average person delays along an arterial on loading factors >

- **BUSBAND and BUSBAND+TSP** outperform **MULTIBAND+TSPs** on reducing the average person delay.
- BUSBAND and BUSBAND+TSP can contribute more to the reduction of average person delay under higher bus loading factors.

Conclusions

This study has proposed a TSP system for an arterial with heavy transit flows

- > The base signal plan for bus progression
 - Stage-1 is to maximize the two-way bus bandwidth considering the bus stop location and the initial traffic queues at intersections;
 - Stage-2 designs to identify the most favorable local TSP strategy at critical intersections from the multiple sets of non-inferior bus progression offsets.
- > Rule-based TSP control for buses at critical intersections in real time
 - Based on the effectiveness of activations, the state of activation in the previous cycle, and the number of arriving buses during the red phase.

Conclusions

The results of case study show that the proposed system is able to

- improve the bus performance with lower and more stable travel times along an arterial
- cause less negative impacts on traffic in the non-priority movements at TSP intersections.

Conclusions

Given Further research

- A guideline to select the control objective in design of signal progression for a given set of geometric and traffic conditions
 - Bus-based progression, passenger car progression, and concurrent progression
- > A reliable method to determine the optimal locations to implement active TSP

2018 TRB Annual Meeting

Paper ID: 18-03122

THANK YOU

Hyeonmi Kim, Yao Cheng, and Gang-Len Chang Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of Maryland, College Park

